

FICTIONAL / TEACHING EXAMPLE

A finding and its evidence, ready to inspect

Prepared fictional excerpt · Assessment Review Kit · 5 October 2026. Calder & Frei Advisory, Northgate Fabrication and all case records are fictional. This example illustrates preparation for a qualified reviewer; it has no professional approval, installed workflow or measured client outcome. It is not an analysis of your firm or its method.

The assessment question

Do six sampled July 2026 shift handovers carry recorded sign-off, and does the control have a named accountable owner? These are the fictional firm’s criteria, not a universal assessment method.

The completed finding for review

Four of six sampled handovers have a recorded sign-off. The other two sign-offs are asserted in interview but remain unverified. No accountable owner is identified in the supplied materials.

This finding describes the supplied documentation. A missing record does not establish that a handover did not happen; the register’s completeness has not been independently verified.

Material claim	Source	What remains open
Four sign-offs are recorded	S3: July register, H-01 to H-04; exported 15 August 2026	Whether this is an adequate source for the criterion
All six handovers were signed	S2: supervisor interview, 14 August 2026	Interview account conflicts with the supplied documentary record; no supporting records reviewed in interview
H-05 and H-06 were signed	Asserted in S2; no supporting record supplied	Obtain records or confirmation they are unavailable; do not infer the event never occurred
An accountable owner is identified	No owner identified in S1 questionnaire or S3 register	Ask for written identification; the owner may exist without being named in these sources

S1 is the 12 August 2026 questionnaire. It says notes are in a shared operations folder and supervisors coordinate between them; no accountable owner is supplied.

The brief a reviewer receives

Decision requested: Under the firm’s method, approve a limited finding with gaps disclosed, revise the finding, or hold pending evidence.

Evidence to resolve: Request sign-off records for H-05 (22 July) and H-06 (29 July), or written confirmation that they are unavailable. Request written identification of the accountable owner.

Human decision: The qualified reviewer records the exact finding version, decision, rationale and remaining limitations before issue. This decision is open in the example; no approval is pre-filled.

Next handoff: An engagement contact requests the precise missing material through the firm’s normal process. An unavailable-record response closes the request but leaves the sign-offs unverified. Report approval does not automatically resolve an evidence gap.

The work this lets you compare

The useful object is the finding, its exact basis, unresolved evidence and the reviewer's decision together. Your own criteria, evidence rights and professional review remain essential. This does not measure how much effort an AI step would save; a comparison would count preparation, checking, correction and operation through accepted work.

Source: Completed Assessment Review Kit, worked case and reviewer brief. The full kit includes editable records, a request, handover and measurement tools. No call is required to inspect the public example. This excerpt is a prepared draft; actual recipient fulfilment is separately bound.

Prepared fictional excerpt · fictional case · no professional approval or installed workflow. Full kit:
<https://www.buildnbloom.io/demos/assessment/review-kit>